



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/02/2002

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/090,096	06/03/1998	GENE CHUI	81862.P096	3528
75	07/02/2002			
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR AND ZAFMAN			EXAMINER	
12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD 7TH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90025		LOGSDON, JOSEPH B		
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2662	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PX

•		7				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action O	09/090,096	CHUI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAIL INC DATE of this commission was	Joe Logsdon	2662				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the (correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 h	<u>May 2002</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for formal matters, p	prosecution as to the merits is				
Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayre, 1905 C.D. 11,	433 O.G. 213.				
4) Claim(s) 1,2,4-38 and 40-83 is/are pending in	the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-38 and 40-83</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional application).				
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest 						
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/090,096

Art Unit: 2662

Claim Rejections—35 U.S.C. 112, First Paragraph:

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 1, 2, 4-38, and 40-83 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. According to claims 1, 34, 42, 50, and 69, the bits per word and the words per cell are programmable parameters. This feature is not taught in the disclosure as originally filed. For example, page 31, lines 10-12 teaches that the word size and the cell size are programmable parameters. The cell size could be set to be equal to the word size; in this case, the word size and the cell size could be programmable, but the words per cell would not be a programmable parameter. Claims 2, 4-33, 35-38, 40, 41, 43-49, 51-68, and 70-83 depend on claims 1, 34, 42, 50, and 69 and are therefore similarly rejected.

Response to Arguments:

3. The prior art rejections have been withdrawn in view of the amendment. The amendment, however, lacks written description, as explained in this Office Action.

Application/Control Number: 09/090,096

. Art Unit: 2662

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph Logsdon whose telephone number is (703) 305-2419. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 1:00 pm to 9:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hassan Kizou, can be reached at (703) 305-4744.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

Application/Control Number: 09/090,096

Art Unit: 2662

6. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314

For informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT".

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive,

Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Joe Logsdon

Saturday, June 22, 2002

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600